## TOWN NO .: SITE NO .: CONNECTICUT HISTORICAL COMMISSION UTM: 18/\_/\_. 59 South Prospect Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06106 QUAD: (203) 566-3005 DISTRICT: HISTORIC RESOURCES INVENTORY FORM POTENTIAL For Buildings and Structures Asylum Hill Historic MRA IDENTIFICATION. Myers and Gross Building 1. BUILDING NAME: Common: \_\_\_\_\_ Historic: COUNTY: Hartford VILLAGE: 2. TOWN/CITY: Hartford 3. STREET & NUMBER (and/or location): 2 Fraser Pl. PUBLICX PRIVATE 4. OWNER(S): Louis Weinstein/ 99 Pratt Street, Hartford CT 5. USE: Present: Apartments Historic: Apartments 6. ACCESSIBILITY TO PUBLIC: Exterior visible from public road: X yes no Interior accessible: yes, explain DESCRIPTION 7. STYLE OF BUILDING: Neo-Colonial/Georgian Revival DATE OF CONSTRUCTION: c. 1917 8. MATERIAL(S) (indicate use or location when appropriate): X brick (yellow) \_\_\_ asbestos siding \_\_\_clapboard \_\_\_ fieldstone \_\_\_ asphalt siding \_\_\_wood shingle \_\_\_ stucco \_\_ cobblestone \_\_board & batten \_ cut stone: type: concrete: type: \_\_aluminum siding X other: Cast iron- ornament and entrance bays 9. STRUCTURAL SYSTEM: \_\_wood frame:\_\_post and beam\_\_balloon \_\_\_ structural iron or steel X load bearing masonry \_\_\_other: \_\_ 10. ROOF: type: sawtooth X flat \_\_\_ mansard monitor \_\_\_gable \_\_\_ hip other round \_\_gambrel shed material: \_\_\_ tin \_wood shingle \_\_roll asphalt slate \_\_asphalt shingle \_\_built up tile other: APPROXIMATE DIMENSIONS: 11. NUMBER OF STORIES: \_\_\_ deteriorated \_\_\_ excellent X good fair 12. CONDITION: Structural: $X_{good}$ \_\_\_ fair \_\_\_ deteriorated Exterior: \_\_\_ excellent 13. INTEGRITY: Location: X on original site moved, when: Alterations: X no yes, explain: 14. RELATED OUTBUILDINGS OR LANDSCAPE FEATURES: X other landscape features or buildings: Lawn and \_\_\_barn \_\_\_shed \_\_\_ garage landscaping directly surrounding apt. \_\_carriage house \_\_shop garden block. 15. SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT: \_scattered buildings visible from site \_\_open land \_\_woodland X residential X high building density Y commercial industrial (office building) \_\_\_ rural 16. INTERRELATIONSHIP OF BUILDING AND SURROUNDINGS:

Home office of the Connecticut Mutual Life Insurance Company stands opposite to the west: 3-story, brick, Italianate house converted to offices, south; smaller c.1900 three-story apartment, north; Edwards Street runs east of 2 Fraser Place.

STATE OF CONNECTICUT

17. OTHER NOTABLE FEATURES OF BUILDING OR SITE (interior and	/or exterior):
2 Fraser Place, a utilitarian, 3-story, yellow-brick ap	
1917, occupies a curved site at the corner of Fraser I	
Though its cast-iron classical detailing is a commerci	
ponse to the early 20th-century consumers' perception	
nevertheless is a well-executed and attractive complementation	
are two entrances, one at 2 Fraser Place and the other	
Myrtle Street, both of which are clearly identified as	
tive treatment: cast-iron bays in arched, keystoned su	rrounds set between
brick pilasters with Doric capitals with egg-and-dart	
of the building and form a major decorative feature.	The cornice treatment of the
SIGNIFICANCE	
Architect: Builder:	
18. HISTORICAL OR ARCHITECTURAL IMPORTANCE:	
Marcus Whiffen, in his American Architecture Since 1780	: A Guide to the Styles,
admits that it is difficult to muster enthusiasm for t	he 20th-century Georgian
Revival, especially as its prototypes, smaller, domest	ic buildings, did not
readily adapt themselves to larger scale 20th-century	structures.' This is true
of 2 Fraser Place, which follows the "acceptable solut	ion" for apartment
blocks of concentrating detail at the top of the build	ing; the building is neither
stylish nor intriguing, but it occupies its site well	and is in scale and keep-
ing with the larger houses, apartment and office build	ings surrounding it. It
is an attractive and exemplary Georgian Revival buildi	
ing and varied fenestration enhances the elevation and	
viewer nor is the detailing so unrelated to the struct	
less detail-for-detail's-sake look of some revival str	
is, though, primarily significant as a good example of th	e Georgian Revival in
Hartford and as an illustration of the type of multipl	e-residential building
which began to be seen in Hartford and elsewhere in the PHOTOGRAPH	eiirst part of the contury.
Sarah Zimmerman	
date: June 1979 view:	Place
date: June 1979 view: negative on file: CT Historical Commission	riace
	Photograph
COMPILED BY:	
name: Sarah Zimmerman date: June 1979	Here
organization: Hartford Architecture Conservancy	
address: 65 Wethersfield Avenue, Hartford CT	
19. SOURCES:	
1. Whiffen, Marcus, American Architecture Since 1780:	
Cambridge, M.I.T. Press, 1969, p. 163. 2. <u>Ibid</u> ., p.	163.
Also,	
L.J. Richards and Company, Atlas of the City of Hartfo	rd and Town of West Hart-
ford. Springfield. 1917. 20. SUBSEQUENT FIELD EVALUATIONS:	
Geographical Data:	
Acreage - Less than one acre (0.31 acre prox.).	
Verbal boundary description - The nominated proper	ty is described in the
Hartford Land Records at volume 1800, page 7.	
21. THREATS TO BUILDING OR SITE:	
X none known highways vandalism developers	other:
	explanation:
mine a control mine a	

## STATE OF CONNECTICUT

CONNECTICUT HISTORICAL COMMISSION
59 South Prospect Street, Hartford, Connecticut 06106

## HISTORIC RESOURCES INVENTORY FORM

For Buildings and Structures

CONTINUATION	ON SHEET	
Item number:	Date:	

TOWN NO '	•		
rown no.:,			TE NO.:
UTM: 18/_	//_	/	-//
	,,		-,
		•	
QUAD:		•	
	s	NR:	ACTUAL
QUAD: DISTRICT:	s	NR:	ACTUAL

17. (con't.)
flat-roofed block is another element of its embellishment. The cast-iron cornice,
denticulated and banded with egg-and-dart molding, is set with modillions.
Its wide frieze is ornamented, above the pilasters, with fleur-de-lis. (In addition to those flanking the entrances, pilasters mark the corners and center
of the block.) The fenestration, a clue to the building's original floor-plan,
is another decorative element: the windows are grouped with a Chicago-type
window (a fixed central light with opening sash to either side), paired doublehung windows, and a single window to each apartment, indicating a suite with a
living room, bedroom, and bath.

The assignment of a specific style to a building as functionally constructed as 2 Fraser Place is difficult as the determination refers almost solely to the type of ornament applied and not to elements of structure or design. But, on the basis of its symmetrical, balanced, and quiet facade, with a minimum of projecting features, and its derivative use of classical elements, such as pilasters, dentils, and egg-and-dart molding, as well as its decorative emphasis of the entrances, this building is described as Neo-Colonial.

## 18. (con't.)

By the time of World War I, the era of Asylum Hill's long dominance as Hartford's upper-class residential neighborhood was coming to a close. At its eastern edge, near downtown, major insurance companies, including Connecticut Mutual, Hartfor Fire and the Aetna, began to establish their headquarters. As a concommitant development, apartment houses began to proliferate in the same section, close to these employers.

2 Fraser Place was a part of this demographic development of the first quarter of the 20th century in Asylum Hill, while simultaneously reflecting the preference for architectural style that was followed further west in Asylum Hill where single-family residences still were being constructed. The Neo-Classical Revival, or Georgian Revival, was the style chosen for houses built in these years along or near Forest and Woodland streets in the western part of Asylum Hill, 54, 60 and 70 Forest Street and 1039 Asylum Avenue (the Spencer House), all built in the same decade as 2 Fraser Place, being cases in point. 2 Fraser Place illustrates the demographic and architectural development of Asylum Hill toward the end of its era.

Acreage - Less than one.

Verbal boundary description - The nominated property is described in the Hartford Land Records at volume 1705, page 64.